ase 2.11 cv-02789-LDD Document 1 Filed 04/25/11 Page

CIVIL COVER SHEET

OFIGINAL 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	E INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVER	KSE OF THE FORM.)		_	parpose of initiating
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANT	re III N	D = 60
LORETTA NICHOL	SON		` `	# 11 ~ 1	V- 2189
	,		CARDWOR	KS SERVĪCING, LLC,	
(b) County of Residen	ce of First Listed Plaintiff	// hiladalahia Carr			
(b) County of Residen	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	rilladelphia Cour	County of Residen	ice of First Listed Defendant	Old Bethpage, New Yo
	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	DES)	/	(IN U.S. PLAINT FF CASI	
	/		NOTE: IN L	AND CONDEMNATION CASES	, USE THE LOCATION OF THE
	(LA	ND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nar	me, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Know	vn)	
James Pacitti, Krohn 8	Moss, Ltd., 19474 Sar	Ha Monica Blvd	Suita	· ,	
401, Los Angeles, CA	90025, (323) 988-2400	x 230	, Suite		
II. BASIS OF JURIS	SDICTION (Place an "X" in		III CITIZENCIUS O		
	(Trace an X in	One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases On	F PRINCIPAL PARTIE	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintin
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question			PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
1 tallititi	(U.S. Government No	ot a Party)	Citizen of This State	☐ 1 ☐ 1 Incorporated or	Principal Place
72 480	_/			of Business In	This State
☐ 2 U.S. Government \ Defendant	4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated an	nd Principal Place
	(Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)		of Business I	In Another State
		ļ	Citizen or Subject of a	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (N		Foreign Country	Uniting Nation	
CONTRACT					
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY		FORFEITURE/PENALT		OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury -		422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust
 □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability	365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 88	1 28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking450 Commerce
& Enforcement of Judgmen		Product Liability	🗇 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	G 640 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y Safety/Health	1 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment		370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 819 Selective Service
of Veteran's Benefits	1	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Securities/Commodities/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability	385 Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	17 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(a))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY		RISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐		☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty		26 USC 7609	Act
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐	540 Mandamus & Other	IMMIGRATION 1 462 Naturalization Applicati		900Appeal of Fee Determination
	Employment	550 Civil Rights	463 Habeas Corpus -	on	Under Equal Access to Justice
	Other	555 Prison Condition	Alien Detainee		950 Constitutionality of
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights		465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes
	1		, redons	ł	1
~					1
C. ORIGIN (Place a	an "X" in One Box Only)				
🗷 🕽 Original 📋 2 Re	emoved from 🔳 3 Ren	nanded from	4 Reinstated or 5 Tran	sferred from	Appeal to District Judge from
Proceeding St.		pellate Court	Reopened anot	her district	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute	under which you are	filing (Do not cite invisdiction	nal statutes unless diversity):	Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION			ming (Do not the jurisdictio)	nai statutes unless diversity):	_
	I Brief description of cause:	Adul Dobt Callage			
VII. REQUESTED IN	Abusive and Unlay		tion		-
	222111111111111111111111111111111111111	CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			JURY DEMAND:	-
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)				□ 103 □ 140
IF ANY	(See instructions):	OGE		DOCVETARRES	
DATE				DOCKET NUMBER	
		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		4DD 0 5 2011
04/19/2011		\longrightarrow		\geq	APR 25 2011
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			•		
	<u></u>				
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG IUI	ACE.

Case 2:11-cv-02789-LDD Document 1 Filed 04/25/11 Page 2 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

Address of Plaintiff Address of Defendant: 101 CROSSWAYS PARK W WOODBURY BY 12797 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia County (PA) (Use Revers Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning. Page 1976 (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed R Civ P 7 1(a)) Page 1976 Does this case involve multidistrict Ritigation possibilities? RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number: Pladge 2976 Date Terminated: Civil cases are dermed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1 is this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same inneaction as a prior suit perding or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes 1970 Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes 1970 Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes 1970 Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes 1970 Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes 1970 No 1970 Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes 1970 No 1970 Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case filed by the same individual? Yes 1970 No 1970 No 1970 No 2970 No 1970 No 2970 No 2970 No 2970 No 2970 No 2970 No 29	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia County (PA) (Use Revere Vide For Additional Space) Obes this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning. Page of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed R Civ P 7 1(a)) Does this case involve multidistrict Ritigation possibilities? RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number: Judge Date I erminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes No. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes No. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes No. The No. The No. Is this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes No. The No. Is this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes No. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes No. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes No. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes No. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	
Civil Reverus Side For Additional Space	
Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve multidistrict fitigation possibilities? Vest No Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit perding or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Vest No Does this case involve the validity or infiningement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Vest No Does this case involve the validity or infiningement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Vest No Does this case involve the validity or infiningement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Vest No Does this case involve the validity or infiningement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Vest No Does this case involve the validity or infiningement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Vest No Does this case involve the validity or infiningement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Vest No Does thi	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed R Civ P 7 1(a)) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE IF ANY: Lase Number:	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? **RELATED CASE IF ANY:** Case Number: Judge	of its stock?
RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number:	
Case Number: Judge	
Does this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or prove civil rights case filed by the same individual? Does this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or prove civil rights case filed by the same individual? Patent Defention Defention	
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Poes this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Poes this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Poes	
Poses this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit perding or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Poses this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Pose No Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes No No No No No No No No	
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit perding or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Ves No Description No D	
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit perding or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No	
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes	
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count? Yes No V Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes No V IVII. (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) Federal Question Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Personal Injury Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Personal Injury Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract Contract Contracts Indemnity Contract Contract Contract Contracts Indemnity Contract Co	
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes No	
NVII. (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) Federal Question Cases: ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts ☐ FELA ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury ☐ Patent ☐ Patent ☐ Labor-Management Relations ☐ Civil Rights ☐ Civil Rights ☐ Habeas Corpus ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases ☐ Habeas Corpus ☐ All other Federal Question Cases ☐ Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief the damages recoverable in this civil action case of the collection case o	
NVII. (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) Federal Question Cases: ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts ☐ FELA ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury ☐ Patent ☐ Patent ☐ Labor-Management Relations ☐ Civil Rights ☐ Civil Rights ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases ☐ Habeas Corpus ☐ All other Federal Question Cases ☐ Chase specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case expectation in the contract of the contracts of the contract of the	
INIL (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) Federal Question Cases: ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts ☐ FELA ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Co ☐ FELA ☐ Airplane Personal Injury ☐ Antitrust ☐ Patent ☐ Antitrust ☐ Labor-Management Relations ☐ Cother Personal ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases ☐ All other Federal Question Cases ☐ All other Federal Question Cases ☐ Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case et 0,0000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Federal Question Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract and Other Contracts Indemnity Contract and Other	
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Insurance Contract and Other Co FELA	
□ Jones Act-Personal Injury □ Jones Act-Personal Injury □ Antitrust □ Patent □ Labor-Management Relations □ Civil Rights □ Habeas Corpus □ Securities Act(s) Cases □ Social Security Review Cases □ Social Security Review Cases □ All other Federal Question Cases □ Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case expection of the control of	ntracts
Antitrust Antitrust Patent Labor-Management Relations 6 Cother Personal Injury Civil Rights 7 Products Liability Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case expected.	
Patent Description Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Civil Rights Description Civil Rights Description Relations Civil Rights Description Relations Civil Rights Description Relations Civil Rights Description Relations Relations Civil Rights Relations Relation	
Labor-Management Relations 6 Cother Personal specify) Civil Rights 7 Products Liability Habeas Corpus 8 Products Liability — Asbestos 9 All other Diversity Cases All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief the damages recoverable in this civil action case expected to the contraction of the contractio	
specify) Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases If U.S.C. 1592, et seq. (Please specify) All other Federal Question Cases Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case et 0,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Civil Rights Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case expected.	Injury (Please
Habeas Corpus 8 Products Liability — Asbestos Securities Act(s) Cases 9 All other Diversity Cases Whease specify) All other Federal Question Cases Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exposed on the contraction of the contraction	
Securities Act(s) Cases Social Security Review Cases 15 U.S.C. 1592, et seq. (Please specify) All other Federal Question Cases Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief the damages recoverable in this civil action case et 0,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
All other Federal Question Cases Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices (Please specify) ARBITRATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exposed on the contraction of the contraction o	
All other Federal Question Cases Unlawful and Abusive Debt Collection Practices (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case ex 0,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case ex 0,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
(Check Appropriate Category) Counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief the damages recoverable in this civil action case et 0,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief the damages recoverable in this civil action case es 60,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case ex 0,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
a'non on excitative of inferest and costs;	reed the sum of
James D. Besitti	•
TE: 4/26/2011 FL BAR: 19768	'
Attorney I D #	
NOIE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with FRCP 38	
rtify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this coept as noted above.	urt
James D. Pacitti FL BAR:119 769	Z
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I D #	

Case 2:11-cv-2789-100 Document 1 Filed 04/25/11 Page 3 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Loretta Nicholson	: CIVIL ACTION			
	:	11 2789		
V.	:			
Cardworks Servicing	:	•		
Sarawornb Servicing	:	NO.		

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Tele	ephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address			
Date 323-988-2400		(866) 385-1408	jpacitti@consumerlawcenter.com			
		Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
4,	/26/2011	James D. Pacitti	Lortta, Nicholson			
	,	t – Cases that do not fall into any or	ne of the other tracks.	(XX		
	commonly referred to	 Cases that do not fall into tracks (as complex and that need special o e side of this form for a detailed ex 	r intense management by	,		
(d)	 d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. 					
(c)	Arbitration - Cases re	es required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.				
(b)	Social Security – Case and Human Services of	ial Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

\$350



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LORETTA NICHOLSON,) ***			:
Plaintiff,))	11	27 89	
v.)) Ng.		•	
CARDWORKS SERVICING, LLC,			FILED	Capacita Canal
,)		'APR 2 5 2011	
Defendant.)		MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk	

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, LORETTA NICHOLSON (Plaintiff), through her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against Defendant, CARDWORKS SERVICING, LLC (Defendant):

INTRODUCTION

1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy."
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the State of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3), and according to Defendant, Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5).
- 7. Defendant is an alleged debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 8. Defendant is a collection agency with a business office in Old Bethpage, New York.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Defendant is attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff on behalf of the original creditor, Merrick Bank, with an account number ending in 4355.
- 11. Plaintiff's alleged debt owed to Merrick Bank arises from transactions for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 12. On March 16, 2009, Plaintiff's counsel mailed a cease and desist and a notice of representation letter to Defendant (Plaintiff's counsel's letter to Defendant is attached as Exhibit A).
- 13. Despite receiving Plaintiff's counsel's letter (Exhibit A), Defendant communicated with Plaintiff after March 16, 2009, in an attempt to collect a debt (Defendant's letter to Plaintiff dated on or about February 12, 2011, is attached as Exhibit B).

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 14. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated $\S 1692c(a)(2)$ of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff even though Defendant knew Plaintiff was represented by an attorney.
 - b. Defendant violated §1692c(c) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff after Defendant received Plaintiff's cease and desist letter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, LORETTA NICHOLSON, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, CARDWORKS SERVICING, LLC, for the following:

- 15. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k,
- 16. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k
- 17. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, LORETTA NICHOLSON, demands a jury trial in this case.

Dated: April 19, 2011 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Krohn & Moss, Ltd.,

By: ______ames D. Pacitti

Krohn & Moss, Ltd.

10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 401

Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel: 323-988-2400 x230

Fax: 866-385-1408

jpacitti@consumerlawcenter.com

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

Plaintiff, LORETTA NICHOLSON, states the following:

- 1. I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding.
- I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.
- 3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law.
- I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.

 I have filed this Complaint in good faith and the little of the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint.
- 5. I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it.
- 6. Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original
- 7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, LORETTA NICHOLSON, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

april 14th 2011

LORETTA NICHOLSON